

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,425	03/26/2004	Mai Levite	LEVITE3	8418
1444 7590 03/18/2009 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			JUEDES, AMY E	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/809,425	LEVITE, MAI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AMY E. JUEDES	1644		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 March 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 17-19.23.24.26 and 27 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20-22 and 25. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. X The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: /G.R. Ewoldt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's submission of evidentiary journal articles by Levite et al. and Ganor et al. comprise new issues, and Applicant has not provided a sufficient reason why the evidence was not presented earlier.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the finality of the previous office action was premature, and requests that the finality of the office action be withdrawn. Specifically, Applicant argues that the new rejection under 102 as being anticipated by Winters et al. was not necessitated by Applicant's amendment, since the subject matter of previous claim 14 was drawn to administering glutamate to T cells ex vivo and administering in ET cells. The elected invention is drawn to a method comprising administering glutamate to T cells in-vitro/ex-vivo. Claim 14 was previously withdrawn from consideration since it was dependent from claim 12 which was drawn to administration of glutamate. In wise administration of glutamate is vivo administration of glutamate to T cells are severe and the previously created at taught administration of glutamate to T cells ex-vivo (a. the subject matter of original claim 14). However, Applicants amendment cancelling the previously predict per cells received in the glutamate to T cells received in the glutamate to the process of the proviously created and traught administration of glutamate to T cells review (i.e. the subject matter of original claim 14). However, Applicants amendment cancelling the previously predict and the proviously predict per proviously created and traught administration of glutamate to T cells recessitated the new orounds of relection.